## **TIP SHEET #3:**Verify the source





Whether you're looking at a website, photo, video or news story, what really matters is whether or not the people who originally created it are trustworthy. Even when it has been shared with you by someone you trust, like a friend or family member, you can't know if they checked the facts. So it's up to you!

You can't always confirm that something is false, but if the source isn't reliable you have no reason to believe it.

To find out if a source is reliable, ask these three questions:

## 1. Do they *really* exist?

 It's easy to make fake pictures, fake websites and fake social network profiles that look just as real and professional as anything out there.

"About Us" pages and profiles are easy to fake, so use Wikipedia or a search engine like Google to find out if other people say they really exist. Pay attention to things that are hard to fake: for example, if somebody claims to work for a particular company, check the company's website or do a search for their name and the company's name to see if they've ever been mentioned together in reliable sources (like a newspaper you already know is real).

## 2. Are they who they say they are?

 It's easy to pretend to be someone else online, so once you know the source really exists, you need to find out if what you're looking at really came from them.



 Some social networks, like Twitter and Instagram, verify users by putting a blue checkmark next to their name. This does not mean they're necessarily a reliable source, but it does mean that they are who they say they are.



To find out if you're on an organization's real website or social network profile, do a search for them and compare the top result to the site you're on.

## 3. Are they trustworthy?

• For sources of general information, like newspapers, that means asking if they have a process for making sure they're giving you good information, and a good track record of doing it. How often do they make mistakes? If they do make mistakes, do they admit them and publish corrections? Are they willing to publish things their owners, or their readers, wouldn't agree with? See this example from a search about the Washington Post:

The Washington Post (sometimes abbreviated as WaPo) is a major American daily newspaper published in Washington, D.C., with a particular emphasis on national politics and the federal government. It has the largest circulation in the Washington metropolitan area. Its slogan "Democracy Dies in Darkness" began appearing on its masthead in 2017. [6] Daily broadsheet editions are printed for the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia.

The newspaper has won 47 Pulitzer Prizes. This includes six separate Pulitzers awarded in 2008, second only to *The New York Times*'s seven awards in 2002 for the highest number ever awarded to a single newspaper in one year.<sup>[7]</sup> *Post* journalists have also received 18 Nieman Fellowships and 368 White House News Photographers Association awards. In the early 1970s, in the best-known episode in the newspaper's history, reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein led the American press's investigation into

- For more specialized sources, you want to ask
  whether they're experts or authorities on that
  topic. Being an expert is more than just being a
  doctor, a scientist or a professor: make sure they
  are an expert in the area that they are talking
  about.
- You also want to make sure their position isn't biased, but don't mix up bias and authority. A biased source starts with what they believe and then chooses or interprets the facts to fit those beliefs. Someone who is actually an expert on something will probably have stronger opinions about it than someone who isn't – but they'll be better-informed opinions.

Make sure to take these steps to double-check before you share anything we see online, every time. Because only you can Break the Fake.

